

Energy Dynamics

Energy Dynamics

Energy Balance (Equations 36 & 37 in Assignment)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\left(\frac{77}{96} \right) h \bar{u}^2 \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[K h \bar{u}^3 \right] = - \rho_L h \bar{u} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{5}{9} \frac{\mu_w}{L} g_L h^2 \bar{u} - \frac{35}{9} \chi \Delta \sqrt{\frac{g_L}{h}} \bar{u}^2 \rightarrow \textcircled{1} \\
 & \begin{array}{l} \text{rate of change of K.E.} \\ \frac{N}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{m} \times \frac{m}{s} \\ \frac{N}{m^2 \cdot s} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Divergence of K.E. Flux} \\ \frac{N}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{m} \times \frac{m}{s} \\ \frac{N}{m^2 \cdot s} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Work of normal stress gradient} \\ \frac{N}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{m} \times \frac{m}{s} \\ \frac{N}{m^2 \cdot s} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Energy dissipation by wall shear stresses (wall friction)} \\ \frac{N}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{m} \times \frac{m}{s} \\ \frac{N}{m^2 \cdot s} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Energy dissipation by internal shear stresses} \\ \frac{N}{m^2} \times \frac{1}{m} \times \frac{m}{s} \\ \frac{N}{m^2 \cdot s} \end{array} \\
 & + \rho u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \left(u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) = - \rho \frac{u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x} - \rho 2u \frac{\tau_w}{\rho L} + \rho \frac{u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} + u g_{||} \rightarrow \textcircled{2} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad = u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comments

- * Equ. ① is the depth integrated form; eq ② is the local values @ each (x,z) locatⁿ
- * All terms have dimensions of energy flux [$\frac{J}{m^2 \cdot s}$]
- * Equ. ② also has a term for gravitational production [$u g \sin \beta$]; however this term cancels out in derivation of ① when we choose $\alpha = \beta$
- * Hung chose to study terms 2 & 5 of equatⁿ ②

Kinetic Energy Flux

Let $K' = \frac{1}{2} M v^2$ be the usual kinetic energy of mass M moving with velocity \vec{v}
Define $K \equiv \frac{K'}{M} = \frac{1}{2} v^2$ as the kinetic energy per unit mass

By definition, the kinetic energy efflux, q_k , is given by :

$$q_k \equiv K \rho \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{A} \text{ with corresponding dimensions: } [q_k] = \frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg}} \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3} \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}} \text{m}^2 = \frac{\text{J}}{\text{s}}$$

The corresponding kinetic energy flux, Q_k , is defined as :

$$Q_k \equiv K \rho \vec{v} \text{ with dimensions:}$$

$$[Q_k] = \frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg}} \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3} \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}} = \frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}}$$

Our task is to show that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (K \rho \vec{v})$ is :

* equivalent to term 2 of equation (2), to within scalar constants

Consider $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (K\rho\vec{v}) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_j \right] \dots$ Einstein notation & summation convention
 where $j=1,2$ $\partial(x_1, x_2) = (x, z)$; $(v_1, v_2) = (u, w)$ & $|\mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{v_i v_i} = \sqrt{u^2 + w^2}$
 $\Rightarrow |\mathbf{v}| = (u^2 + w^2)$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_j \right] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (u^2 + w^2) u \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (u^2 + w^2) w \right] \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^3 + uw^2) \right] + \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (u^2 w + w^3) \right] \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} 3u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\rho}{2} w^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\rho}{2} u \left[2w \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\rho}{2} w 2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\rho}{2} 3w^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} [3u^2 + w^2] + \frac{\rho}{2} 2uw \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \rho uw \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\rho}{2} 3w^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} [3u^2 + w^2] + \rho uw \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \end{aligned}$$

... by shallow flow approx

But $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow w^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow w^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -u^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$... by shallow flow approximation

$$\therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_j \right] = \rho \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \rho uw \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \rightarrow \textcircled{4}$$

Now consider the dimensions of term 2 in equation (2)

$$[\text{Term 2}] = \frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}^2} \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}} \frac{1}{\text{m}} \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3} = \underbrace{\left[\left(\frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}{\text{s}^2} \right) \times \text{m} \right]}_{\text{J}} \times \frac{1}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}}$$

$$\therefore [\text{Term 2}] = \frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}} \equiv [\text{Kinetic Energy Flux}]$$

Similarly, the dimensions of $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (K \rho \vec{v})$ can be gleaned from equation (4):

$$[\vec{\nabla} \cdot (K \rho \vec{v})] = \frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}}$$

Therefore:

- * Term 2 is dimensionally equivalent to the divergence of the kinetic energy flux
- * $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (K \rho \vec{v})$ also brings in the correct factor of $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$ to the 1st term of eqn. (4)
- * We will drop the density term in numerical calcs. as it simply serves to scale the results by a constant ρ in our case of incompressible flow

Noting that $u = \bar{u} F(\hat{\eta}) = \bar{u} \left[\frac{7}{3} - \frac{25}{6} \hat{\eta}^{3/2} + \frac{7}{2} \hat{\eta}^{5/2} \right]$

$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = F(\hat{\eta}) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} \right)$

Solns of $\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x}$:

* Solve for $u(x)$

* Fit spline to u vs x

* Numerically differentiate spline

Energy dissipation by granular viscous stress: Term 5 of Equ. (2)

$u \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z}$: Consider $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u \tau)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u \tau) = u \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} + \tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$$

Integrate over depth, $h(x)$: $\int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}}$

$\Rightarrow \int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u \tau) dz = \int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} u \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} dz + \int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} \tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} dz$ from boundary conditions (5)

But $\int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u \tau) dz = \int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} d(u \tau) = [u \tau]_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} = \bar{u} \bar{\tau} - u_1 \tau_1 = 0$

So (5) reduces to: $\int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} u \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} dz = - \int_{z_1}^{\bar{z}} \tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} dz$ \rightarrow This is equivalent to: $\int u d\tau = - \int \tau du$

\div by $dz \Rightarrow \int u \frac{d\tau}{dz} = - \int \tau \frac{du}{dz}$

Finally, equating the integrands \Rightarrow $u \frac{d\tau}{dz} = - \tau \frac{du}{dz} = - \tau \dot{\gamma}$

So to summarise: $u \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} = -\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$ & this is equivalent to $u \frac{d\tau}{dz} = -\tau \dot{\gamma}$ \rightarrow (6)

Now let's expand $[-\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}]$ using the linearised $\mu(I)$ -rheology

$$\tau = \mu_0 \sigma + \chi \Delta \dot{\gamma} \sqrt{\rho \sigma}$$

Noting that in the α -tilted frame, all terms involving (α) can be ignored \Rightarrow the shear stress (τ) reduces to:

$$\tau = \chi \Delta \dot{\gamma} \sqrt{\rho \sigma}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore u \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} &= -\tau \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) = -\chi \Delta \dot{\gamma} \sqrt{\rho \sigma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ &= -\chi \Delta \dot{\gamma} \sqrt{\rho \sigma} \dot{\gamma} \quad \dots \text{from (6)} \\ &= -\chi \Delta \dot{\gamma}^2 \left[\rho \left(\rho g \cos \beta (\bar{z} - z) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = -\chi \Delta \dot{\gamma}^2 \rho \left[g_{\perp} \hat{h} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \varphi_v \end{aligned}$$

In Einstein notation:

$$\varphi_v = -\chi \Delta |\dot{\gamma}|^2 \rho \left[g_{\perp} \hat{h} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ where } \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{and } \dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \rightarrow \dots \text{by shallow flow approx.}$$

$$= \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$$

Derivation of shear rate: Method 1

As shown in Q6: $u(x,z) = \bar{u}(x) f(\hat{\eta}(x,z))$,

where $f(\hat{\eta}) = \frac{7}{3} - \frac{35}{6} \hat{\eta}^{3/2} + \frac{7}{2} \hat{\eta}^{5/2}$

$$\therefore \dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \bar{u}(x) \frac{\partial f(\hat{\eta})}{\partial z}$$

Noting that $\partial z = -h \partial \hat{\eta}$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\gamma} = \frac{-\bar{u}(x)}{h(x)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{\eta}}$$

$$= -\frac{\bar{u}}{h} \left[\frac{18}{26} \frac{1}{2} \hat{\eta}^{1/2} + \frac{7}{2} \frac{5}{2} \hat{\eta}^{3/2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\bar{u}}{h} \left[9 \hat{\eta}^{1/2} - \frac{35}{4} \hat{\eta}^{3/2} \right]$$

$$\therefore \dot{\gamma}(x,z) = \frac{\bar{u}(x)}{h(x)} \left[9 \hat{\eta}^{1/2} - \frac{35}{4} \hat{\eta}^{3/2} \right] \text{ where } 0 \leq \hat{\eta} \leq 1$$

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\sqrt{g \cos^2 \beta}}{X D} \left[\eta^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{h \mu w}{L} - \frac{\mu w}{L} \eta^{\frac{3}{2}} \right] \quad \dots \text{from (17) \& (18) in assignment}$$

$$= \frac{\mu w \sqrt{g \cos^2 \beta}}{X L D} \left[\eta^{\frac{1}{2}} h - \eta^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{35} h^{\frac{5}{2}} \right) \frac{\mu w \sqrt{g \cos^2 \beta}}{X L D} \left[\frac{35}{4 h^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left(\eta^{\frac{1}{2}} h - \eta^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \bar{u} \left[\frac{35}{4 h^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left(\eta^{\frac{1}{2}} h - \eta^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Delta} &= \bar{u} \left[\frac{35}{4h^{5/2}} \left(\eta^{1/2} h - \eta^{3/2} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{35\bar{u}}{4} \left[\eta^{1/2} h^{+3/2} - \eta^{3/2} h^{-5/2} \right] \\
&= \frac{35\bar{u}}{4} \left[\eta^{1/2} h^{1/2} h^{-3/2} - \eta^{3/2} h^{3/2} h^{-5/2} \right] \\
&= \frac{35\bar{u}}{4h} \left[\eta^{1/2} - \eta^{3/2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Steady State Energy Dynamics for experimental data

Ignoring the shallow flow approximation $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \neq 0$ & $\frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \neq 0$

Cauchy Stress: $\sigma = -p \mathbb{1} + \tau \dots -p \mathbb{1} = \sigma_{\text{hyd}} \equiv$ hydrostatic stress

where $p \equiv$ isotropic pressure & $\tau \equiv$ deviatoric stress

In the x, z direction \Rightarrow

$$\begin{bmatrix} -p & \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{zx} & -p \end{bmatrix} = -p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{zx} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also: $\sigma = \sigma^T \Rightarrow \sigma$ is symmetric

$\underbrace{- \begin{bmatrix} \text{Hydrostatic} \\ \text{stress} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{isotropic stress}}$

$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{zx} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Deviatoric stress}}$

$\underbrace{\mathbb{1}}_{\text{unit tensor (in 2D)}}$

$$\tau = \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma) \mathbb{1}, \text{ where}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma) \mathbb{1} = \frac{1}{2} (-2p) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -p & 0 \\ 0 & -p \end{bmatrix} = -p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -p \mathbb{1}$$

By the linearised $\mu(I)$ constitutive law

$$\tau = (\mu_0 + \kappa I) \frac{D}{\|D\|} P, \text{ where } L = \nabla V = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \dots \text{velocity gradient}$$

with $V = u + w$

$$D = \frac{1}{2} (L + L^T) \dots \text{symmetric strain rate tensor}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} & 2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xz} \\ D_{zx} & D_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|D\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (\text{tr} D)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(D^2)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left[4 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 4 \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]}$$

$$= \sqrt{2 \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]}$$

$$I = \frac{2 \|D\| d}{\sqrt{P/\rho}} = \frac{2 d}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)}{\sqrt{P/\rho}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} d}{\sqrt{P/\rho}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = \sqrt{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

NB: In using the linearised $\mu(I)$ -rheology τ_{xx} & τ_{zz} are non-zero $\circ\circ$ D_{xx} & D_{zz} are non-zero

By the linearised $\mu(I)$ constitutive law

$$\tau = (\mu_0 + \kappa I) \frac{D}{\|D\|} P, \text{ where } L = \nabla V = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \dots \text{velocity gradient}$$

with $V = u + w$

$$D = \frac{1}{2} (L + L^T) \dots \text{symmetric strain rate}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} & 2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xz} \\ D_{zx} & D_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D^2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 & \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 & 4 \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 & \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 & \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|D\| = \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(D^2)}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$I = \frac{2\|D\|d}{\sqrt{P/P}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} d \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{P/P}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} d}{\sqrt{P/P}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

NB: In using the viscoplastic rheology, τ_{xx} & τ_{zz} are non-zero $\circ \circ$ D_{xx} & D_{zz} are non-zero

$$\begin{aligned} \circ \circ \quad \tau &= \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xx} & \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{zx} & \tau_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \quad \exists \quad \tau = \tau^T \quad \circ \circ \quad \sigma = \sigma^T \\ & \Rightarrow \tau \text{ is symmetric} \\ &= \frac{p(\mu_0 + \chi I)}{\|\Delta\|} \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xz} \\ D_{zx} & D_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{p(\mu_0 + \chi I)}{\|\Delta\|} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}$$

Differential Momentum Equations

If the stresses @ the walls of the differential volume are related to the stresses @ the center via a 1st order Taylor series expansion, then the following properties arise for the wall stresses:

(i) Normal stresses τ_{ii} are directed away from plane & pressure p is directed into the plane $\exists \tau_{ii} = -p$ for

(ii) Stresses at $x_i + \frac{\Delta x_i}{2}$ are directed in the positive x_i -direction

(iii) Stresses at $x_i - \frac{\Delta x_i}{2}$ are directed in the negative x_i -direction

NB: * These properties ensure a self-consistent set of equations for the surface stresses.
* The external forces must still be correctly accounted for i.t.o. magnitude & direction

Using these properties for our granular flow problem along with the:

- * Constitutive law and
- * Relevant external forces

we may write out the general x & z momentum balances

x-momentum balance @ steady-state:

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = g_{||} - \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho L} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

side wall $\tau_{xz} = -p$

$$\Rightarrow u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = g_{||} - \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho L} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

NB: The x-component of the shear stress tensor is: $\tau_{xz} + \tau_{zx}$

Also, τ_{zx} acts on differential area $dA = (dz)L$ \exists our force due to τ_{zx} is: $(dz)L \left[\tau_{zx}|_{x+dx} - \tau_{zx}|_x \right]$

\therefore when we \div by $\rho(dx)(dz)L$, the dz term cancels.

So in the limit $dx \rightarrow 0$ & $dz \rightarrow 0$, we get: $\left[\frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} \right]$

z-momentum balance @ steady-state

$$w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = +g \cos \beta \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z}$$

$\nabla_{xxx} = -\rho$

∴ z-momentum balance becomes

$$w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -g \cos \beta + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

We have shown earlier, that

$$\Rightarrow \frac{u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} = -\frac{\tau_{xz}}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}; \text{ similarly: } \frac{u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} = -\frac{\tau_{xx}}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x};$$

$$\star \frac{w}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} = -\frac{\tau_{xz}}{\rho} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}; \quad \frac{w}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} = -\frac{\tau_{zz}}{\rho} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

∴ (1) becomes:

$$u \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + w \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) = g_{II} - \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho L} - \frac{\tau_{xx}}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\tau_{xz}}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) \rightarrow (1^*)$$

∴ (2) becomes:

$$w \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) + u \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right) = -g_I - \frac{\tau_{xz}}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\tau_{zz}}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \rightarrow (2^*)$$

* We now scale (1^*) & (2^*) , \exists their terms represent dimensions of Energy flux, by doing following:

$$(1^*) \times u\rho$$

$$(2^*) \times w\rho$$

$$\textcircled{1*} \times u\rho \Rightarrow \rho u \left[u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right] = \rho u \left[g_{11} - \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho L} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} \right]$$

$$\textcircled{2*} \times w\rho \Rightarrow \rho w \left[u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right] = \rho w \left[-g_{11} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \right]$$

Adding the above eqn^{ns}:

$$\Rightarrow \rho u \left[u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right] + \rho w \left[u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right]$$

$$= \rho u \left[g_{11} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} - \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho L} \right] + \rho w \left[-g_{11} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \right]$$

$$= \rho u g_{11} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z}}_{-\tau_{xz} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x}}_{-\tau_{xx} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}} - \frac{2u\tau_w}{\rho L} - w g_{11} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho w}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x}}_{-\tau_{xz} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + f(\mu_0)} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho w}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z}}_{-\tau_{zz} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + f(\mu_0)} \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

NB: $f(\mu_0)$ is not shear rate dependent & will be excluded in our final energy dissipatⁿ analysis.

So (3) becomes :

$$\rho u \left[u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right] + \rho w \left[u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right] =$$
$$\rho \left[g_{11} - \frac{\tau_{xz}}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{\tau_{zx}}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho L} \right] + \rho \left[-g_{11} - \frac{\tau_{xz}}{\rho} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} - \frac{\tau_{zz}}{\rho} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right] + f(\mu_0)$$

Isolating the shear dissipation terms :

$$\psi'_V = - \left[\underbrace{\tau_{xx} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\tau_{xz} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)}_{(ii)} + \underbrace{\tau_{zz} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)}_{(iii)} \right] \rightarrow (4)$$

Energy dissipation rate by internal shear stresses

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) &= \tau_{xx} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
 &= \left[(\mu_0 + \lambda I) \frac{\Delta_{xx} p}{\|\Delta\|} \right] \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\
 &= \left[\left(\mu_0 + \frac{\lambda 2 \|\Delta\| \Delta}{\sqrt{p/\rho}} \right) \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} p}{\|\Delta\|} \right] \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\mu_0 p}{\|\Delta\|} + \frac{2 \lambda \Delta p}{\sqrt{p/\rho}} \right] \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

$$\dots \Delta_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$$

$$(i) = \tau_{xz} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)$$

$$= \left[(\mu_0 + \chi I) \frac{D_{xz} p}{\|D\|} \right] \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_0 p}{\|D\|} + \frac{2\chi D p}{\sqrt{p/\rho}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

$$\dots D_{xz} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$(ii) \tau_{zz} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \left[(\mu_0 + \chi I) \frac{D_{zz} p}{\|D\|} \right] \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\mu_0 p}{\|D\|} + \frac{2\chi D p}{\sqrt{p/\rho}} \right] \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2$$

$$\dots D_{zz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

$$\therefore (i) + (ii) + (iii) = \left[\frac{\mu_0 p}{||D||} + \frac{2\chi D p}{\sqrt{P/\rho}} \right] \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right\}$$

consider : $\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{=0 \text{ by the incompressibility condition}}$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 = -2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$$

$$\therefore (i) + (ii) + (iii) = \underbrace{\left[\frac{\mu_0 p}{||D||} \right]}_{(a)} + \underbrace{\left[\frac{2\chi D p}{\sqrt{P/\rho}} \right]}_{(b)} \left[-2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]$$

(b): Rate Dependent dissipation

(a): Dissipation required to:

- * Prop up bed to repose angle α
- * Overcome yield criterion of bed

Focusing on rate-dependent dissipation, i.e., excluding terms in μ_0 , equ. (4) becomes

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\varphi}'_v = \chi D \sqrt{\rho \rho'} \left[4 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \rightarrow (5)$$

∴ The energy dissipation rate (per unit density) by internal shear stresses is:

$$\dot{\varphi}_v = \frac{\dot{\varphi}'_v}{\rho} = \chi D \sqrt{\frac{\rho'}{\rho}} \left[4 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]$$

NB: When considering the total energy dynamics, the $\dot{\varphi}_v$ contribution in our energy balance equation must be taken to the L.H.S. of equatⁿ

$\Rightarrow \dot{\varphi}_{\text{total}} \equiv \dot{\varphi} = \dot{\varphi}_k - \dot{\varphi}_v$ yields the total energy dynamics

Consider $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (K\rho\vec{v}) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_j \right] \dots$ Einstein notation & summation convention
 where $j=1,2 \ni (x_1, x_2) = (x, z)$; $(v_1, v_2) = (u, w)$ & $|\mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{v_i v_i} = \sqrt{u^2 + w^2}$
 $\Rightarrow |\mathbf{v}| = (u^2 + w^2)$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_j \right] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (u^2 + w^2) u \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (u^2 + w^2) w \right] \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^3 + uw^2) \right] + \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (u^2 w + w^3) \right] \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} 3u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\rho}{2} w^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\rho}{2} \left[2w \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\rho}{2} w 2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\rho}{2} 3w^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} [3u^2 + w^2] + \frac{\rho}{2} 2uw \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \rho uw \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\rho}{2} 3w^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \\ &= \rho \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (3u^2 + w^2) + uw \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} w^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \vec{\nabla} \cdot (K\rho\vec{v}) = \rho \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (3u^2 + w^2) + uw \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} w^2 \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right]$$

$$\phi'_k = \rho \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (3u^2 + w^2) + uw \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} w^2 \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right]$$

$$\phi'_v = \kappa D \sqrt{P/\rho} \left[4 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]$$

So finally, the total energy dynamics per unit density is :

$$\phi = \frac{\phi'_k}{\rho} - \frac{\phi'_v}{\rho}$$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (3u^2 + w^2) + uw \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} w^2 \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) + \kappa D \sqrt{\frac{P}{\rho}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right]$$

NB: $\sqrt{\frac{P}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho g h \cos \beta}{\rho}} = \sqrt{(g \cos \beta) h} = \sqrt{g_{\perp} h} \Rightarrow$ no density dependence

Implications of scaling the Energy Dynamics by Density (ρ_p)

- * The density dependence is removed \Rightarrow the energy dynamics of 2 drums with different density particles (everything else the same) can be compared
- * In terms of DEM data & coarse graining, the particle density ρ_p is important
 - it does not cancel out
- * For granular mixtures of different densities, we can use a weighted average of the densities

∴

$$\psi_k(x, z) = \frac{3}{2} u^2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + u w \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) + w^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$\therefore \vec{\nabla} \cdot (K \rho \vec{V}) = \rho \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (3u^2 + w^2) + u w \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} w^2 \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right]$$